IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VICTORIA GIAMPA,

Petitioner,

District Court Case
No: 98-D224029

vs.

Nevada Supreme
Court No.:

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
of the State of Nevada, in and for the County
of Clark, and DISTRICT COURT
JUDGE SANDRA L. POMRENZE,

Respondent.

Oral Argument
Requested: YES

and

CHARLES F. GIAMPA,

Real Party In Interest.

PETITIONER VICTORIA GIAMPA'S SUPPLEMENT #1 OF LIST OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER VICTORIA GIAMPA'S PERMISSION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION; OR PERMISSION FOR REHEARING OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

COMES NOW, PETITIONER VICTORIA GIAMPA (hereinafter VICTORIA), appearing in proper person, who hereby brings her LIST OF EXHIBITS pursuant to EDCR 2.20.

WHEREFORE, VICTORIA is entitled to have the Nevada Supreme Court consider these Exhibits in support of her Petition.

DATED this 7th day of NOVEMBER, 2008.

_____

Victoria Giampa
1848 Wellington Court
Henderson, Nevada 89014
(702) 434-9027

VOLUME I

Exhibit No.	Description		Pg.
1	10/18/07	Petitioner Victoria's Application for Petition for Writ of Mandamus	4
2	7/19/07	Judge Pomrenze's Minute Order of the 7/19/07 Hearing	4
3	1/25/08	Order Dismissing Appeal	4

4	9/12/08	Petitioner Victoria's Motion for Leave of Court to File in Proper Person for a Writ of Mandamus.....	4
5	10/2/08	Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus or in the Alternative..	4
6	3/7/08	Judge Pomrenze's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ...	5
7	9/22/08	Certificate of Mailing of Writ to Judge Pomrenze.....	5
8	6/10/05	Order Establishing Pilot Program in Civil Appeals.....	6
9	7/20/08	District Court Judge Hardcastle Order for not finding Victoria vexatious..	7
10	7/28/08	Judge Pomrenze denied Victoria permission to file: Defendant Victoria Giampa's Ex-Parte Motion to Seek Permission To File Defendant's Application for an Independent Action To Set Aside All Orders Which Modified the Initial and Non-Modifiable 9/23/98 Final Settlement Agreement Pursuant to NRCP 59 AND NRCP 60(b) [Pursuant to the January 20, 2008 Nevada Supreme Court Decision] Due to Plaintiff's History of Facts and Attendant Circumstances Evidencing Fraud Upon	

		The Court; and Defendant's Application for Order to Show Cause and for Willful and Deliberate Contempt and for Imposition of Sanctions; and Defendant's Motion to Stay All Proceedings Pending a Determination of a Constitutional Challenge to Nevada Revised Statutes Re: Best Interests of the Minor Children.....	8
11	11/21/08	Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court Order of September 26, 2007 to Show Cause Why Defendant Should Not Be Held in Contempt... Defendant's Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court.....	8
12	8/28/07	Judge Pomrenze's Minute Order of 8/28/07 Hearing	9
13	7/19/07	Transcript of Hearing held on 7/19/07	9
14	8/28/07	Transcript of Hearing held on 8/28/07	9
15	7/31/07	Defendant's Motion for Correction and/or Clarification of the July 19, 2007 Court Minute Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(a)	10

16	3/23/05	Country Club Towers Rental Agreement	10
17	2/9/00	Chuck Giampa & Associates 1999 1099 from Sierra and Company: Total Net: $307,899.53	11
18	5/27/08	Appellant Victoria Giampa's Motion for Stay with the Nevada Supreme Court	12
19	9/29/05	Findings of Fact and Orders by Judge Sanchez	13
20	7/19/05	Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody and Resolve Parent-Child Issues Motion for Order to Show Cause and Related Relief	13
21	3/3/05	Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Response and Objection to Motion to Resolve Parent-Child Issues	13
22	4/5/05	Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Resolve Parent/Child Issues Re: The Child's Enrollment In School; Ex-Parte Motion for Order Shortening Time	13
23	8/23/05	Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Set Aside Temporary Order for Change of Physical Custody Pursuant to NRCP	

		60(b) and Countermotion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendant Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court; Countermotion for Permanent Orders Re: Custody; Countermotion for Attorney Fees and Sanctions	14
24	10/26/98	Decision and Imposition of Discipline re: Judge Gloria S. Sanchez	14
25	7/16/08	Challenge to the Best Interest of the Children Standard emailed to Joyce Woodhouse, Nevada Legislator requesting her to forward it to the Nevada Legislature	14
26	9/3/08	Supplemental Challenge to the Best Interest of the Children Standard emailed to Joyce Woodhouse, Nevada Legislator requesting her to forward it to the Nevada Legislature	14
27	12/7/05	Letter from Judge Sanchez to Dr. Mortillaro re: Please advise me by facsimile immediately should you believe that the children are at risk of harm and that immediate adjustments to the custodial timeshare are necessary	15

VOLUME II

Judge Pomrenze refuses to allow Victoria to file Defendant's Exhibit in Support of Defendant's Application for an Independent Action To Set Aside All Orders Which Modified the Initial and Non-Modifiable 9/23/98 Final Settlement Agreement....and; Outline of Clerk of the Court's failure and Master Calendar's failure to follow the Local Rules in permitting this Court and ATTORNEY DUCKWORTH to gain an advantage when controlling the pace of scheduling dates of motions and hearings resulting in prejudicial harm to the Defendant and the minor children, and; Outline of Fraud Upon the Court committed by ATTORNEY DUCKWORTH and CHARLES with the help of the Court:

28	7/21/08	**Defendant's Exhibit In Support of Defendant's Application For An Independent Action To Set Aside All Orders Which Modified the Initial and Non-Modifiable 9/23/98 Final Settlement Agreement Pursuant To NRCP 59 and NRCP 60(b) Due To Plaintiff's History of Facts and Attendant Circumstances Evidencing Fraud Upon the Court; and Defendant's Application for Order to Show Cause and For Willful and Deliberate Contempt and For Imposition of Sanctions; and Defendant's Motion to Stay All**

Proceedings Pending a Nevada Revised Statutes re: Best Interests of The Minor Children;

ATTORNEY DUCKWORTH'S admissions of fraud upon the court in strict violation of EDCR 5.12(a) which provides that a party may not have a "therapist, counselor, psychologist or similar professional" examine a child for the purpose of obtaining an expert opinion for trial or hearing except upon court order" other than the court-appointed psychologist Dr. Louis Mortillaro:

29 3/1/06 PLAINTIFF'S ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND REQUEST FOR SET OF INTERROGATORIES;

ATTORNEY DUCKWORTH'S admissions of fraud upon the court in strict violation of EDCR 5.12(a) which provides that a party may not have a "therapist, counselor, psychologist or similar professional" examine a child for the purpose of obtaining an expert opinion for trial or hearing except upon court order" other than the court-appointed psychologist Dr. Louis Mortillaro:

30 7/24/06 Letter from ATTORNEY DUCKWORTH to VICTORIA.

LIST OF VICTORIA'S PENDING 60(b) MOTIONS

JUDGE POMRENZE deliberately fails to abide by the Nevada Supreme Court's January 25, 2008 Order which prevents VICTORIA from filing her motion to seek a final appealable order pursuant to NRCP 60(b) and to properly proceed as an aggrieved person:

31	**2/14/05**	**Defendant's Motion for Clarification and Motion for Reconsideration of Parent-Child Issues and Court Order(s) Pursuant to NRCP 60(b); Motion For Court Ordered Mediation;**
32	**11/1/05**	**Defendant/Counterclaimant's Motion To Set Aside Temporary Order For Change of Physical Custody Pursuant to NRCP 60(b);**
33	**9/26/06**	**Defendant's Rule 60(b) Motion to Reinstate and/or Enforce Support Obligations and Imposition of Sanctions Against Plaintiff;**
34	**9/26/06**	**Defendant's Motion for Reassignment and Random Appointment of Visiting Judge to Conduct an Evidentiary Hearing [pursuant to Rule NRCP 60(b) Pg. 11/L3-18];**

35	**9/26/06**	**Defendant's Motion for Clarification and For Reconsideration of September 5, 2006 Court Order(s) Pursuant to NRCP 60(b);**
36	**12/18/06**	**Defendant's Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration of Defendant's Objection to the District Court's Adoption of the October 20, 2006 Discovery Commissioner's Findings and Recommendations Pursuant to NRCP 52(a) and NRCP 60(b);**
37	**7/9/07**	**Defendant's Motion for Relief of Judgment Due to a History of Facts and Attendant Circumstances Evidencing Plaintiff's Fraud Upon the Court Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)**